

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/621,438	07/18/2003	Steven W. Trovinger	100110105-1	1722	
22879	7590 09/06/2005	09/06/2005		EXAMINER	
	PACKARD COMPA	BLAKE, CAROLYN T			
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FORT COLI	LINS, CO 80527-2400	3724			
		•	DATE MAILED: 09/06/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·)
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	→
		10/621,438	TROVINGER, STEVEN W.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Carolyn T. Blake	3724	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS OF THE MAILING THE MAIL	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar	action is non-final.	essecution as to the merits is	
ت. ا	closed in accordance with the practice under E	•		
Dispositi	ion of Claims	,, panto quajio, 1000 0.2. 11, 10		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1.6-8.10-15.20.25 and 26 is/are pendidal Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1.6-8.10-15.20.25 and 26 is/are reject Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Applicati	ion Papers			
9)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 18 July 2003 is/are: a)[☐ accepted or b)⊠ objected to b	y the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•	· •	
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment	t(s)			
1) 🔯 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
3) 因 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	ite atent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group II in the reply filed on July 22, 2005 is acknowledged.

Drawings

- 2. Figure 3 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g).
- 3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "224" has been used to designate both an engage mechanism and a ramp.
- 4. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference characters "224" and "232" have both been used to designate a ramp (connected to arm 230).
- 5. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/621,438 Page 3

Art Unit: 3724

Specification

6. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Page 10, line 3: "Fig. 2C" should be changed to - -Fig. 2B- -.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 8. Claims 1,6-8, 10-15, 20, 25, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. It is not clear if the idler roller moves with the drive roller during translational motion, or if the idler roller is only capable of rotational motion. If the latter is the case, it appears the sheet would experience sagging and/or deformation during translational motion of the drive roller due to the gap between the contact surfaces.
- 9. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

10. Claims 20, 25, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 20 recites the limitation "the drive roller" in line 9. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Objections

- 11. Claim 8 is objected to because of the following informalities: "mechanism in the" should be changed to --mechanism is in the--. Appropriate correction is required.
- 12. Claim 20 is objected to because of the following informalities: the word "and" should be deleted at the end of line 3 and inserted at the end of line 6. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

13. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 14. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Roy et al (5,586,479). Roy et al disclose a booklet making system comprising: a drive system (54, 56) for advancing sheet material (75) in a first direction; a sheet material trimming apparatus for receiving the sheet material advanced by the drive system, the sheet material trimming apparatus including a first cutter (60) arranged to trim an edge of a sheet material in a first direction; and a second cutter (72) arranged to trim an edge of the sheet material in a second direction different from the first direction, and wherein the drive system is configured to translate the sheet material in a second direction by translation of a drive roller (69).

Page 5

15. Claims 1, 6-8, and 10-17 would be allowable once the rejection under 35 USC §

112 has been resolved.

16. Claims 25 and 26 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim,

but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of

the base claim and any intervening claims, and the rejection under 35 USC § 112 has

been resolved.

Conclusion

17. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. Miller et al (5,115,846) discloses a roller capable of translation.

18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Carolyn T. Blake whose telephone number is (571) 272-

4503. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 8:00 AM to 5:30

PM, alternating Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on (571) 272-4514. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/621,438

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 3724

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

CB

August 31, 2005

Timothy V. Eley

Page 6